Communications in Mathematical Physics manuscript No.
(will be inserted by the editor)

arXiv:1610.09967v1 [math-ph] 31 Oct 2016

One-mode quantum-limited Gaussian channels have Gaussian maximizers

Giacomo De Palma[1 2 3 ⋆], **Dario Trevisan**[4], **Vittorio Giovannetti**[2]

[1] QMATH, Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Copenhagen, Universitetsparken 5, 2100 Copenhagen, Denmark
[2] NEST, Scuola Normale Superiore and Istituto Nanoscienze-CNR, I-56126 Pisa, Italy
[3] INFN, Pisa, Italy
[4] Università degli Studi di Pisa, I-56126 Pisa, Italy

Abstract: We prove that Gaussian states saturate the $p \to q$ norms of the one-mode quantum-limited attenuator and amplifier. The proof starts from the majorization result of De Palma et al., IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory **62**, 2895 (2016), and is based on a new logarithmic Sobolev inequality. Our result extends to non-commutative probability the seminal theorem "Gaussian kernels have only Gaussian maximizers" (Lieb, Invent. Math. **102**, 179 (1990)), stating that Gaussian operators saturate the $p \to q$ norms of Gaussian integral kernels. Our result also implies that the $p \to q$ norms of the thinning are saturated by geometric probability distributions. Moreover, the multimode extension of our result would imply the multiplicativity of the $p \to q$ norms of quantum-limited Gaussian channels.

1. Introduction

Given $p, q \geq 1$, let us consider a Gaussian integral kernel G from $L^p(\mathbb{R}^m)$ to $L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$:

$$(G\,f)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} G(x,y)\,f(y)\,d^m y\;, \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}^n\;, \qquad f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^m)\;, \tag{1}$$

where $G(x,y)$ is a Gaussian function on $\mathbb{R}^{m+n}$, i.e. the exponential of a quadratic polynomial. The norm of G is

$$\|G\|_{p\to q} := \sup_{0<\|f\|_p<\infty} \frac{\|G\,f\|_q}{\|f\|_p}\;. \tag{2}$$

In the seminal paper "Gaussian kernels have only Gaussian maximizers" [1], E. H. Lieb proved that under certain fairly broad assumptions on G, p and q, this

⋆ E-mail: giacomo.depalma@math.ku.dk

operator is well defined, and the supremum in (2) is attained on a Gaussian function f. This result permits to determine the norm of G and has countless applications, such as straightforward proofs of the Brascamp-Lieb convolution inequality, the Hausdorff-Young-Titchmarsh inequality for Fourier integrals and Nelson's hypercontractivity theorem [1], a proof Entropy Power Inequality and of the Brunn-Minkowski Inequality (see e.g. [2], Section 17.8), and Lieb's solution [3, 4] of Wehrl's conjecture [5, 6], stating that coherent states minimize the Shannon differential entropy of the Husimi function.

In noncommutative probability functions on $\mathbb{R}^n$ with n even are replaced by operators acting on the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ of an $n/2$-mode Gaussian quantum system, i.e. the irreducible representation of the canonical commutation relations of the ladder operators

$$\left[\hat{a}_i,\ \hat{a}_j^\dagger\right] = \delta_{ij}\,\hat{\mathbb{I}}\,, \qquad [\hat{a}_i,\ \hat{a}_j] = 0\,, \qquad i,\,j = 1,\dots,\,\frac{n}{2}\,. \tag{3}$$

The L^p norm is replaced by the Schatten p norm [7, 8], defined as the l^p norm of the singular values of the operator:

$$\left\|\hat{X}\right\|_p := \left(\mathrm{Tr}\left(\hat{X}^\dagger\hat{X}\right)^{\frac{p}{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\,. \tag{4}$$

Integral kernels are replaced by linear maps acting on the operators on $\mathcal{H}$. For any $p,\,q > 1$, the $p \to q$ norm of any such map Φ is [8]

$$C_{p\to q}(\Phi) := \sup_{0<\left\|\hat{X}\right\|_p<\infty} \frac{\left\|\Phi\left(\hat{X}\right)\right\|_q}{\left\|\hat{X}\right\|_p}\,. \tag{5}$$

Gaussian quantum channels [9, 10] are the noncommutative counterpart of Gaussian kernels. They model in the quantum regime the attenuation and the noise that unavoidably affect any electromagnetic communication through metal wires, optical fibers or free space [9–15].

This paper extends Lieb's result to the two building blocks of one-mode phase-covariant quantum Gaussian channels: the one-mode quantum-limited attenuator and amplifier. We prove for these channels that for any $1 < p < q$ the supremum in (5) is achieved by a quantum Gaussian operator (Theorem 1 and Theorem 2), i.e. an operator proportional to the exponential of a quadratic polynomial in the ladder operators (3). So far this result was known only for $p = 1$ [10]. This follows from the proof of the Gaussian majorization conjecture [16–18], stating that for any phase-covariant quantum Gaussian channel the output generated by the vacuum input state majorizes the output generated by any other positive operator with unit trace. Our proof starts from a recent majorization result on one-mode quantum Gaussian channels [19], that reduces the problem to input states diagonal in the Fock basis. The key point of the proof is a new logarithmic Sobolev inequality (Theorem 3), that provides an upper bound to the derivative of the norms of the output of the attenuator with respect to the attenuation coefficient. Let us mention that integration of logarithmic Sobolev inequalities to obtain bounds on $p \to q$ norms was first introduced by L. Gross [20], see also [21] for related (but not optimal) results

in noncommutative probability. Moreover, we prove that for any $1 < q < p$ the $p \to q$ norm of both the quantum-limited attenuator and amplifier is infinite and it is asymptotically saturated by a sequence of Gaussian operators converging to the identity. The same sequence asymptotically saturates the $p \to p$ norm for any $p > 1$.

The noncommutative counterparts of probability distributions on $\mathbb{R}^n$ are quantum states, i.e. positive operators with unit trace. The von Neumann entropy of a quantum state $\hat{\rho}$ is the Shannon entropy of the probability distribution associated to its eigenvalues, i.e.

$$S(\hat{\rho}) = -\mathrm{Tr}\left[\hat{\rho} \ln \hat{\rho}\right] . \tag{6}$$

A Gaussian operator that is also a quantum state is a Gaussian quantum state. Gaussian quantum states minimize the output entropy of the one-mode quantum -limited attenuator among all the input states with a given entropy [19, 22]. The same property is conjectured for any one-mode phase-covariant quantum Gaussian channel [23,24], but the proof technique of [22] does not work. The best bounds on this constrained minimum output entropy follow from the quantum Entropy Power Inequality [25–27], that is however saturated only in trivial cases and it is not sufficient to prove the conjecture. Our results permit to prove the conjecture in a completely different way. This will be the subject of a forthcoming paper.

The restriction of the one-mode quantum-limited attenuator to input operators diagonal in the Fock basis is the linear map acting on discrete classical probability distributions on $\mathbb{N}$ known in the probability literature under the name of thinning [19]. The thinning has been introduced by Rényi [28] as a discrete analogue of the rescaling of a continuous real random variable. The thinning has been involved with this role in discrete versions of the central limit theorem [29–31] and of the Entropy Power Inequality [32,33]. In analogy to (5), for any $p, q > 1$ the $p \to q$ norm of the thinning T_λ is

$$C_{p\to q}(T_\lambda) := \sup_{0<\|x\|_p<\infty} \frac{\|T_\lambda x\|_q}{\|x\|_p} , \tag{7}$$

where $x = \{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of complex numbers and

$$\|x\|_p := \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |x_n|^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \tag{8}$$

is its l^p norm. Our result implies that for any $1 < p < q$ the supremum in (7) is achieved by some geometric probability distribution on $\mathbb{N}$. Moreover, for any $1 < q < p$ the supremum in (7) is infinite and is asymptotically saturated by a sequence of geometric probability distributions with the ratio converging to 1. The same sequence asymptotically saturates the $p \to p$ norm for any $p > 1$.

In section 2 we introduce the one-mode quantum-limited attenuator and amplifier. The main results are stated in section 3 and proved in section 4, section 5 and section 6. The relation with the thinning is discussed in section 7. The conclusions are in section 8.

2. Setup

2.1. Gaussian quantum systems. We consider the Hilbert space of one harmonic oscillator, or one mode of electromagnetic radiation. Its ladder operator $\hat{a}$ satisfies the canonical commutation relation $\left[\hat{a},\, \hat{a}^\dagger\right] = \hat{\mathbb{I}}$, and its Hamiltonian $\hat{N} = \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a}$ counts the number of excitations, or photons. The vector annihilated by $\hat{a}$ is the vacuum $|0\rangle$, from which the Fock states are built:

$$|n\rangle = \frac{\left(\hat{a}^\dagger\right)^n}{\sqrt{n!}}|0\rangle \;, \quad \langle m|n\rangle = \delta_{mn} \;, \quad \hat{N}|n\rangle = n|n\rangle \;. \tag{9}$$

An operator diagonal in the Fock basis is called Fock-diagonal.

2.2. Quantum Gaussian states. An operator proportional to the exponential of a quadratic polynomial in $\hat{a}$ and $\hat{a}^\dagger$ is a Gaussian operator. If the operator is also positive and has unit trace, it is a quantum Gaussian state. If the polynomial is $\hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a}$, the Gaussian state is thermal, and corresponds to a geometric probability distribution for the energy:

$$\hat{\omega}_z = (1-z)\, e^{\hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} \ln z} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (1-z)\, z^n \, |n\rangle\langle n| \;, \qquad 0 \leq z < 1 \;. \tag{10}$$

The average energy of $\hat{\omega}_z$ is

$$E(z) := \mathrm{Tr}\left[\hat{N}\, \hat{\omega}_z\right] = \frac{z}{1-z} \;, \tag{11}$$

and its von Neumann entropy is

$$S(z) = -\ln(1-z) - \frac{z \ln z}{1-z} \;. \tag{12}$$

2.3. Quantum-limited attenuator. The quantum-limited attenuator $\mathcal{E}_\lambda$ of transmissivity $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ mixes the input operator $\hat{X}$ with the vacuum state of an ancillary quantum system B through a beamsplitter of transmissivity λ. The beamsplitter is implemented by the unitary operator

$$\hat{U}_\lambda = \exp\left(\left(\hat{a}^\dagger \hat{b} - \hat{a}\, \hat{b}^\dagger\right) \arccos\sqrt{\lambda}\right) \;, \tag{13}$$

that satisfies

$$\hat{U}_\lambda^\dagger\, \hat{a}\, \hat{U}_\lambda = \sqrt{\lambda}\, \hat{a} + \sqrt{1-\lambda}\, \hat{b} \;, \tag{14}$$

where $\hat{b}$ is the ladder operator of the ancilla system B (see Section 1.4.2 of [34]), and

$$\mathcal{E}_\lambda\left(\hat{X}\right) = \mathrm{Tr}_B\left[\hat{U}_\lambda \left(\hat{X} \otimes |0\rangle_B\langle 0|\right) \hat{U}_\lambda^\dagger\right] \;. \tag{15}$$

The quantum-limited attenuator preserves the set of Fock-diagonal operators [35]. If the input is a Fock-diagonal quantum state, i.e. it has definite photon number, $\mathcal{E}_\lambda$ lets each photon be transmitted with probability λ and reflected or absorbed with probability $1-\lambda$, hence the name "quantum-limited attenuator".

From [19], Lemma 13, the quantum-limited attenuators form a semigroup with generator

$$\mathcal{L}\left(\hat{X}\right) = \hat{a}\,\hat{X}\,\hat{a}^\dagger - \frac{1}{2}\left\{\hat{a}^\dagger\hat{a},\ \hat{X}\right\}\ , \tag{16}$$

i.e. $\mathcal{E}_{e^{-t}} = e^{t\mathcal{L}}$ for any $t \geq 0$.

The quantum-limited attenuator sends thermal states into themselves, i.e. $\mathcal{E}_\lambda\left(\hat{\omega}_z\right) = \hat{\omega}_{z'}$, with

$$z' = \frac{\lambda\, z}{1-(1-\lambda)\, z}\ . \tag{17}$$

2.4. Quantum-limited amplifier. The quantum-limited amplifier $\mathcal{A}_\kappa$ of amplification parameter $\kappa \geq 1$ performs a two-mode squeezing on the input operator $\hat{X}$ and the vacuum state of an ancillary quantum system B. The squeezing is implemented by the unitary operator

$$\hat{U}_\kappa = \exp\left(\left(\hat{a}^\dagger\hat{b}^\dagger - \hat{a}\,\hat{b}\right)\operatorname{arccosh}\sqrt{\kappa}\right)\ , \tag{18}$$

that satisfies

$$\hat{U}_\kappa^\dagger\ \hat{a}\ \hat{U}_\kappa = \sqrt{\kappa}\,\hat{a} + \sqrt{\kappa-1}\,\hat{b}^\dagger\ , \tag{19}$$

where $\hat{b}$ is the ladder operator of the ancilla system B (see Section 1.4.4 of [34]), and

$$\mathcal{A}_\kappa\left(\hat{X}\right) = \mathrm{Tr}_B\left[\hat{U}_\kappa\left(\hat{X}\otimes|0\rangle_B\langle 0|\right)\hat{U}_\kappa^\dagger\right]\ . \tag{20}$$

Also the quantum-limited amplifier preserves the set of Fock-diagonal operators [35].

Proposition 1 ([35], Theorem 9). *For any $\kappa \geq 1$ the quantum-limited amplifier $\mathcal{A}_\kappa$ and the quantum-limited attenuator $\mathcal{E}_{\frac{1}{\kappa}}$ are mutually dual, i.e. for any trace-class operator $\hat{X}$ and any bounded operator $\hat{Y}$*

$$\kappa\ \mathrm{Tr}\left[\hat{Y}\ \mathcal{A}_\kappa\left(\hat{X}\right)\right] = \mathrm{Tr}\left[\mathcal{E}_{\frac{1}{\kappa}}\left(\hat{Y}\right)\ \hat{X}\right] \tag{21}$$

$$\mathrm{Tr}\left[\hat{Y}\ \mathcal{E}_\kappa\left(\hat{X}\right)\right] = \kappa\ \mathrm{Tr}\left[\mathcal{A}_\kappa\left(\hat{Y}\right)\ \hat{X}\right]\ . \tag{22}$$

The quantum-limited amplifier sends thermal states into themselves:

$$\mathcal{A}_\kappa\left(\hat{\omega}_z\right) = \hat{\omega}_{z'}\ , \qquad z' = \frac{z+\kappa-1}{\kappa}\ . \tag{23}$$

3. Main results

Our main result is that thermal Gaussian states saturate the $p \to q$ norms of the one-mode quantum-limited attenuator:

Theorem 1 ($p \to q$ norms). *For any $1 < p < q$ and any $0 < \lambda < 1$ the $p \to q$ norm of $\mathcal{E}_\lambda$ is saturated by a thermal Gaussian state $\hat{\omega}$ (that depends on λ, p and q), i.e. for any operator $\hat{X}$ with $\left\|\hat{X}\right\|_p < \infty$*

$$\frac{\left\|\mathcal{E}_\lambda\left(\hat{X}\right)\right\|_q}{\left\|\hat{X}\right\|_p} \leq \frac{\|\mathcal{E}_\lambda\left(\hat{\omega}\right)\|_q}{\|\hat{\omega}\|_p} . \tag{24}$$

For any $p > 1$ and any $0 < \lambda < 1$ the $p \to p$ norm of $\mathcal{E}_\lambda$ is asymptotically saturated by thermal Gaussian states with infinite temperature, i.e. for any operator $\hat{X}$ with $\left\|\hat{X}\right\|_p < \infty$

$$\frac{\left\|\mathcal{E}_\lambda\left(\hat{X}\right)\right\|_p}{\left\|\hat{X}\right\|_p} \leq \lim_{z\to 1} \frac{\|\mathcal{E}_\lambda\left(\hat{\omega}_z\right)\|_p}{\|\hat{\omega}_z\|_p} = \lambda^{\frac{1-p}{p}} . \tag{25}$$

For any $1 < q < p$ and any $0 < \lambda < 1$ the $p \to q$ norm of $\mathcal{E}_\lambda$ is infinite and is asymptotically saturated by thermal Gaussian states with infinite temperature:

$$\lim_{z\to 1} \frac{\|\mathcal{E}_\lambda\left(\hat{\omega}_z\right)\|_q}{\|\hat{\omega}_z\|_p} = \infty . \tag{26}$$

Proof. See section 4.

The same property holds also for the one-mode quantum-limited amplifier:

Theorem 2. *For any $1 < p < q$ and any $\kappa > 1$ the $p \to q$ norm of $\mathcal{A}_\kappa$ is saturated by a thermal Gaussian state $\hat{\omega}$ (that depends on κ, p and q), i.e. for any operator $\hat{X}$ with $\left\|\hat{X}\right\|_p < \infty$*

$$\frac{\left\|\mathcal{A}_\kappa\left(\hat{X}\right)\right\|_q}{\left\|\hat{X}\right\|_p} \leq \frac{\|\mathcal{A}_\kappa\left(\hat{\omega}\right)\|_q}{\|\hat{\omega}\|_p} . \tag{27}$$

For any $p > 1$ and any $\kappa > 1$ the $p \to p$ norm of $\mathcal{A}_\kappa$ is asymptotically saturated by thermal Gaussian states with infinite temperature, i.e. for any operator $\hat{X}$ with $\left\|\hat{X}\right\|_p < \infty$

$$\frac{\left\|\mathcal{A}_\kappa\left(\hat{X}\right)\right\|_p}{\left\|\hat{X}\right\|_p} \leq \lim_{z\to 1} \frac{\|\mathcal{A}_\kappa\left(\hat{\omega}_z\right)\|_p}{\|\hat{\omega}_z\|_p} = \kappa^{\frac{1-p}{p}} . \tag{28}$$

For any $1 < q < p$ and any $\kappa > 1$ the $p \to q$ norm of $\mathcal{A}_\kappa$ is infinite and is asymptotically saturated by thermal Gaussian states with infinite temperature:

$$\lim_{z\to 1} \frac{\|\mathcal{A}_\kappa\left(\hat{\omega}_z\right)\|_q}{\|\hat{\omega}_z\|_p} = \infty . \tag{29}$$

Proof. See section 6.

The main step to prove Theorem 1 is the proof of its infinitesimal version, given by the following logarithmic Sobolev inequality:

Theorem 3 (Logarithmic Sobolev inequality). *For any $0 < a < 1$, any $0 < w < 1$ and any positive operator $\hat{X}$ with finite rank*

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{d}{dt} \ln \left\| e^{t\mathcal{L}} \hat{X} \right\|_{\frac{1}{a}} \Big|_{t=0} + \mu(w,a)\, S\left(\frac{\hat{X}^{1/a}}{\operatorname{Tr} \hat{X}^{1/a}} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{d}{dt} \ln \left\| e^{t\mathcal{L}} \hat{\omega}_w \right\|_{\frac{1}{a}} \Big|_{t=0} + \mu(w,a)\, S\left(\frac{\hat{\omega}_w^{1/a}}{\operatorname{Tr} \hat{\omega}_w^{1/a}} \right) \end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

where

$$\mu(w,a) := \frac{a\, w^{\frac{a-1}{a}} - 1 + (1-a)\, w}{\ln w^{\frac{1}{a}}} , \qquad 0 < w,\, a < 1 . \tag{31}$$

Moreover,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \ln \left\| e^{t\mathcal{L}} \hat{X} \right\|_{\frac{1}{a}} \Big|_{t=0} \leq 1 - a . \tag{32}$$

Proof. See section 5.

Theorem 3 can be rephrased in this simpler way:

Corollary 1. *For any $p > 1$ and any positive operator $\hat{X}$ with finite rank*

$$\frac{d}{dt} \ln \left\| e^{t\mathcal{L}} \hat{X} \right\|_{p} \Big|_{t=0} \leq \frac{d}{dt} \ln \left\| e^{t\mathcal{L}} \hat{\omega} \right\|_{p} \Big|_{t=0} \leq \frac{p-1}{p} , \tag{33}$$

where $\hat{\omega}$ is the thermal Gaussian state such that

$$S\left(\frac{\hat{X}^p}{\operatorname{Tr} \hat{X}^p} \right) = S\left(\frac{\hat{\omega}^p}{\operatorname{Tr} \hat{\omega}^p} \right) . \tag{34}$$

Proof. Follows from Theorem 3 with $a = 1/p$ and $0 < w < 1$ chosen such that

$$S\left(\frac{\hat{X}^p}{\operatorname{Tr} \hat{X}^p} \right) = S\left(\frac{\hat{\omega}_w^p}{\operatorname{Tr} \hat{\omega}_w^p} \right) . \tag{35}$$

4. Proof of Theorem 1

4.1. 1<p<q. The starting point of the proof is the recent result of Ref. [19], that links the $p \to q$ norms to the notions of passive states. The passive states of a quantum system [36–41] minimize the average energy for a given spectrum. They are diagonal in the energy eigenbasis, and their eigenvalues decrease as the energy increases.

Definition 1 (Fock rearrangement). *Let $\hat{X}$ be a positive operator with eigenvalues in decreasing order $x_0 \geq x_1 \geq \ldots \geq 0$. We define its Fock rearrangement as*

$$\hat{X}^{\downarrow} := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x_n \, |n\rangle\langle n| \, . \tag{36}$$

If $\hat{X}$ coincides with its own Fock rearrangement, i.e. $\hat{X} = \hat{X}^{\downarrow}$, we say that it is passive [36–38].

The result is the following:

Theorem 4. *For any $p, q \geq 1$ the Fock rearrangement of the input does not decrease the q norm of the output, i.e. for any operator $\hat{X}$ with $\left\|\hat{X}\right\|_p < \infty$ and any $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$*

$$\left\|\mathcal{E}_\lambda\left(\hat{X}\right)\right\|_q \leq \left\|\mathcal{E}_\lambda\left(\hat{X}^{\downarrow}\right)\right\|_q \, . \tag{37}$$

Proof. Follows from [19], Theorem 34 and Remark 20.

Lemma 1. *It is sufficient to prove Theorem 1 for positive operators.*

Proof. From [42], Theorem 1, we can restrict to $\hat{X}$ Hermitian. From [42], Eq. (2), we have $\left\|\mathcal{E}_\lambda\left(\hat{X}\right)\right\|_q \leq \left\|\mathcal{E}_\lambda\left(\left|\hat{X}\right|\right)\right\|_q$, where $\left|\hat{X}\right|$ is the absolute value of $\hat{X}$. Since $\left\|\hat{X}\right\|_p = \left\|\left|\hat{X}\right|\right\|_p$,

$$\frac{\left\|\mathcal{E}_\lambda\left(\hat{X}\right)\right\|_q}{\left\|\hat{X}\right\|_p} \leq \frac{\left\|\mathcal{E}_\lambda\left(\left|\hat{X}\right|\right)\right\|_q}{\left\|\left|\hat{X}\right|\right\|_p} \, , \tag{38}$$

and the claim follows.

Lemma 2. *It is sufficient to prove Theorem 1 for positive passive operators.*

Proof. From Theorem 4, the passive rearrangement of the input does not change its p norm and increases the q norm of the output, i.e. for any $\hat{X} \geq 0$ with $\left\|\hat{X}\right\|_p < \infty$

$$\frac{\left\|\mathcal{E}_\lambda\left(\hat{X}\right)\right\|_q}{\left\|\hat{X}\right\|_p} \leq \frac{\left\|\mathcal{E}_\lambda\left(\hat{X}^{\downarrow}\right)\right\|_q}{\left\|\hat{X}^{\downarrow}\right\|_p} \, . \tag{39}$$

Lemma 3. *It is sufficient to prove Theorem 1 for positive passive operators with finite support.*

Proof. Let us suppose that

$$\frac{\left\|\mathcal{E}_\lambda\left(\hat{X}\right)\right\|_q}{\left\|\hat{X}\right\|_p} \leq C \tag{40}$$

for any positive passive $\hat{X}$ with finite support. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let

$$\hat{P}_n := \sum_{k=0}^{n} |k\rangle\langle k| \tag{41}$$

be the projector onto the first $n+1$ Fock states, and let $\hat{X}$ be a generic positive passive operator with $\left\|\hat{X}\right\|_p < \infty$. Since $\hat{X}$ is diagonal in the Fock basis we have

$$0 \leq \hat{P}_n \hat{X} = \hat{X} \hat{P}_n = \hat{P}_n \hat{X} \hat{P}_n \leq \hat{X} \tag{42}$$

and

$$0 \leq \left(\hat{P}_n \hat{X}\right)^p = \hat{P}_n \hat{X}^p \leq \hat{X}^p \, . \tag{43}$$

We then have from the dominated convergence theorem

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathrm{Tr}\left[\left(\hat{P}_n \hat{X}\right)^p\right] = \lim_{n\to\infty} \mathrm{Tr}\left[\hat{P}_n \hat{X}^p\right] = \mathrm{Tr}\, \hat{X}^p \, , \tag{44}$$

i.e.

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \left\|\hat{P}_n \hat{X}\right\|_p = \left\|\hat{X}\right\|_p \, . \tag{45}$$

Since $\mathcal{E}_\lambda$ is a positive map and preserves the set of Fock-diagonal operators, we also have

$$0 \leq \mathcal{E}_\lambda\left(\hat{P}_n \hat{X}\right) \leq \mathcal{E}_\lambda\left(\hat{X}\right) \tag{46}$$

and

$$0 \leq \mathcal{E}_\lambda\left(\hat{P}_n \hat{X}\right)^q \leq \mathcal{E}_\lambda\left(\hat{X}\right)^q \, . \tag{47}$$

Fatou's lemma implies

$$\mathrm{Tr}\left[\mathcal{E}_\lambda\left(\hat{X}\right)^q\right] \leq \liminf_{n\to\infty} \mathrm{Tr}\left[\mathcal{E}_\lambda\left(\hat{P}_n \hat{X}\right)^q\right] \, , \tag{48}$$

i.e.

$$\left\|\mathcal{E}_\lambda\left(\hat{X}\right)\right\|_q \leq \liminf_{n\to\infty} \left\|\mathcal{E}_\lambda\left(\hat{P}_n \hat{X}\right)\right\|_q \, . \tag{49}$$

Finally, the hypothesis (40) applied to $\hat{P}_n \hat{X}$ implies

$$\frac{\left\|\mathcal{E}_\lambda\left(\hat{X}\right)\right\|_q}{\left\|\hat{X}\right\|_p} \leq \liminf_{n\to\infty} \frac{\left\|\mathcal{E}_\lambda\left(\hat{P}_n \hat{X}\right)\right\|_q}{\left\|\hat{P}_n \hat{X}\right\|_p} \leq C \, . \tag{50}$$

Theorem 1 follows integrating the logarithmic Sobolev inequality (30).

Lemma 4. *For any positive operator $\hat{X}$ with finite rank*

$$\frac{d}{da} \ln \left\|\hat{X}\right\|_{\frac{1}{a}} = S\left(\frac{\hat{X}^{\frac{1}{a}}}{\mathrm{Tr}\, \hat{X}^{\frac{1}{a}}}\right) \, . \tag{51}$$

Proof. Follows from an explicit computation.

Lemma 5. *Let us fix $T > 0$ and $0 < w_0 < 1$. For any $0 \leq t \leq T$, let $w(t)$ be such that*

$$\hat{\omega}_{w(t)} = e^{t\mathcal{L}} \hat{\omega}_{w_0} \ , \tag{52}$$

and let $a(t)$ satisfy

$$0 < a(t) < 1 \ , \qquad \frac{d}{dt} a(t) = \mu(w(t), a(t)) \ , \tag{53}$$

with $\mu(w,a)$ as in (31). Then for any $0 \leq t \leq T$ and any positive passive operator $\hat{X}$ with finite rank

$$\frac{\left\| e^{T\mathcal{L}} \hat{X} \right\|_{\frac{1}{a(T)}}}{\left\| \hat{X} \right\|_{\frac{1}{a(0)}}} \leq \frac{\left\| e^{T\mathcal{L}} \hat{\omega}_{w_0} \right\|_{\frac{1}{a(T)}}}{\left\| \hat{\omega}_{w_0} \right\|_{\frac{1}{a(0)}}} \ . \tag{54}$$

Proof. We have with the help of Lemma 4 and of the differential equation (53) for $a(t)$

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt} \ln \left\| e^{t\mathcal{L}} \hat{X} \right\|_{\frac{1}{a(t)}} &= \frac{d}{dt} \ln \left\| e^{t\mathcal{L}} \hat{X} \right\|_{\frac{1}{a}} \Big|_{a=a(t)} + S \left(\frac{\left(e^{t\mathcal{L}} \hat{X} \right)^{\frac{1}{a(t)}}}{\mathrm{Tr} \left(e^{t\mathcal{L}} \hat{X} \right)^{\frac{1}{a(t)}}} \right) \frac{d}{dt} a(t) \\
&= \frac{d}{dt} \ln \left\| e^{t\mathcal{L}} \hat{X} \right\|_{\frac{1}{a}} \Big|_{a=a(t)} + S \left(\frac{\left(e^{t\mathcal{L}} \hat{X} \right)^{\frac{1}{a(t)}}}{\mathrm{Tr} \left(e^{t\mathcal{L}} \hat{X} \right)^{\frac{1}{a(t)}}} \right) \mu(w(t), a(t)) \\
&\leq \frac{d}{ds} \ln \left\| e^{s\mathcal{L}} \hat{\omega}_{w(t)} \right\|_{\frac{1}{a(t)}} \Big|_{s=0} + S \left(\frac{\hat{\omega}_{w(t)}^{\frac{1}{a(t)}}}{\mathrm{Tr} \hat{\omega}_{w(t)}^{\frac{1}{a(t)}}} \right) \mu(w(t), a(t)) \\
&= \frac{d}{dt} \ln \left\| e^{t\mathcal{L}} \hat{\omega}_{w_0} \right\|_{\frac{1}{a}} \Big|_{a=a(t)} + S \left(\frac{\left(e^{t\mathcal{L}} \hat{\omega}_{w_0} \right)^{\frac{1}{a(t)}}}{\mathrm{Tr} \left(e^{t\mathcal{L}} \hat{\omega}_{w_0} \right)^{\frac{1}{a(t)}}} \right) \frac{d}{dt} a(t) \\
&= \frac{d}{dt} \ln \left\| e^{t\mathcal{L}} \hat{\omega}_{w_0} \right\|_{\frac{1}{a(t)}} \ ,
\end{aligned} \tag{55}$$

where we have used the logarithmic Sobolev inequality (30) with $\hat{X}$ replaced by $e^{t\mathcal{L}} \hat{X}$. Inequality (54) then follows integrating (55) between $t = 0$ and $t = T$.

The claim then follows from

Proposition 2. *For any $1 < p < q$ and any $T > 0$ there is $0 < w_0 < 1$ such that the solution to (53), with $a(0) = 1/p$ and $w(t)$ given by (52), satisfies $a(T) = 1/q$.*

Proof. We can extend the function $(w,a) \mapsto \mu(w,a)$ to $w = 1$ with $\mu(1,a) := 0$. This extension is continuously differentiable for $0 < w \leq 1$ and $0 < a < 1$. We then have $a(T) = a(0) = 1/p$ for $w_0 = 1$. Since $0 < 1/q < 1/p$, by continuous dependence of solutions of differential equations with parameters, e.g., Theorem 3.1 in [43], it is sufficient to show that there exists some $0 < w_0 < 1$ such that $a(T) = 0$.

We have for $0 < a < 1$ *and* $0 < w < 1$

$$\mu(w,a) \leq \frac{a\, w^{\frac{a-1}{a}} - 1}{\ln w^{\frac{1}{a}}} := \phi(w,a) \; . \tag{56}$$

The function $a \mapsto \phi(w,a)$ *is increasing for* $w \leq e^{-2}$. *Indeed,*

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial a}\phi(w,a) = w^{\frac{a-1}{a}} \frac{2a - w^{\frac{1-a}{a}} + \ln w}{\ln w} \geq w^{\frac{a-1}{a}} \frac{2 + \ln w}{\ln w} \geq 0 \; . \tag{57}$$

Moreover, the function $w \mapsto \phi(w,a)$ *is increasing for* $w \leq e^{\frac{a}{a-1}}$. *Indeed,*

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial w}\phi(w,a) = a\frac{w^{\frac{1-a}{a}} - a - (1-a)\ln w}{w^{\frac{1}{a}} \ln^2 w} \geq a\frac{-a - (1-a)\ln w}{w^{\frac{1}{a}} \ln^2 w} \geq 0 \; . \tag{58}$$

Since $\mu(w,a) < 0$, $a(t)$ *is decreasing, hence* $a(t) \leq a(0) = 1/p$. *From* (17) $w(t)$ *is decreasing, and* $w(t) \leq w_0$. *Then, choosing* $w_0 \leq e^{-2}$ *and* $w_0 \leq e^{\frac{1}{1-p}}$ *we have* $w(t) \leq e^{-2}$ *and* $w(t) \leq e^{\frac{1}{1-p}}$ *for any* $t > 0$. *Then,*

$$\mu(w(t),a(t)) \leq \phi(w(t),a(t)) \leq \phi(w(t),1/p) \leq \phi(w_0,1/p) \; , \tag{59}$$

and

$$a(T) \leq \frac{1}{p} + \phi(w_0,1/p)\, T \; . \tag{60}$$

Since $\lim_{w\to 0} \phi(w,1/p) = -\infty$ *for any* $p > 1$, *for sufficiently small* w_0 *we will surely have* $a(T) = 0$.

4.2. p=q>*1.* With the same proof used in the case $1 < p < q$, we can restrict to $\hat{X}$ positive passive with finite support. Integrating (32) with $a = 1/p$ between $t = 0$ and $t = -\ln\lambda$ we get

$$\frac{\left\|\mathcal{E}_\lambda\left(\hat{X}\right)\right\|_p}{\left\|\hat{X}\right\|_p} \leq \lambda^{\frac{1-p}{p}} \; . \tag{61}$$

We can compute for any $0 < z < 1$

$$\|\hat{\omega}_z\|_p = \frac{1-z}{(1-z^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}} := f_p(z) \; . \tag{62}$$

We have for $\epsilon \to 0$

$$f_p(1-\epsilon) = \epsilon^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \frac{1 + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon)}{p^{\frac{1}{p}}} \; , \tag{63}$$

and using (17)

$$\lim_{\epsilon\to 0} \frac{\|\mathcal{E}_\lambda\left(\hat{\omega}_{1-\epsilon}\right)\|_p}{\|\hat{\omega}_{1-\epsilon}\|_p} = \lim_{\epsilon\to 0} \frac{f_p\left(1 - \frac{\epsilon}{\lambda + (1-\lambda)\epsilon}\right)}{f_p(1-\epsilon)} = \lambda^{\frac{1-p}{p}} \; . \tag{64}$$

4.3. 1<q<p. We have using (17) and (63)

$$\lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\frac{\|\mathcal{E}_\lambda\left(\hat{\omega}_{1-\epsilon}\right)\|_q}{\|\hat{\omega}_{1-\epsilon}\|_p}=\lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\frac{f_q\left(1-\frac{\epsilon}{\lambda+(1-\lambda)\epsilon}\right)}{f_p(1-\epsilon)}=\infty\,. \tag{65}$$

5. Proof of Theorem 3

Lemma 6. *It is sufficient to prove Theorem 3 for passive operators.*

Proof. From Theorem 4, the passive rearrangement of the input does not decrease the output norms, i.e.

$$\ln\left\|e^{t\mathcal{L}}\,\hat{X}^{\downarrow}\right\|_{1/a}\geq\ln\left\|e^{t\mathcal{L}}\hat{X}\right\|_{1/a}\,. \tag{66}$$

Since $\hat{X}$ and $\hat{X}^{\downarrow}$ have the same spectrum, the two sides of (66) coincide at $t=0$. Then

$$\frac{d}{dt}\ln\left\|e^{t\mathcal{L}}\,\hat{X}^{\downarrow}\right\|_{1/a}\Big|_{t=0}\geq\frac{d}{dt}\ln\left\|e^{t\mathcal{L}}\hat{X}\right\|_{1/a}\Big|_{t=0}\,, \tag{67}$$

and the claim follows.

Since the left-hand side of (30) is invariant upon rescaling $\hat{X}$, we can assume

$$\mathrm{Tr}\hat{X}^{1/a}=1\,, \tag{68}$$

i.e. $\hat{X}^{1/a}$ is a quantum state.

Definition 2 (Connected support). *Given $N\in\mathbb{N}$, we say that the sequence $\{x_0,\dots,x_N\}$ has connected support iff $x_n>0$ for $n=0,\dots,N'$, and $x_{N'+1}=\dots=x_N=0$, where $0\leq N'\leq N$ can depend on x ($N'=N$ means $x_n>0$ for $n=0,\dots,N$). We call $\mathcal{P}_N$ the set of these sequences satisfying the normalization condition $\sum_{n=0}^N x_n^{1/a}=1$, and $\mathcal{D}_N$ the set of the decreasing sequences in $\mathcal{P}_N$.*

Lemma 7.

$$\frac{d}{dt}\ln\left\|e^{t\mathcal{L}}\hat{X}\right\|_{1/a}\Big|_{t=0}=\sum_{n=1}^N n\,x_n^{1/a}\left[\left(\frac{x_{n-1}}{x_n}\right)^{\frac{1}{a}-1}-1\right] \tag{69}$$

for any

$$\hat{X}=\sum_{n=0}^N x_n\,|n\rangle\langle n|\,,\qquad x_0,\dots,x_N\geq 0\,. \tag{70}$$

Proof. From [22], Eqs. (VI.3), (VI.4), we have

$$e^{t\mathcal{L}}\hat{X}=\sum_{n=0}^N x_n(t)\,|n\rangle\langle n|\,, \tag{71}$$

where for $n=0,\dots,N$

$$x_n(t)=\sum_{k=n}^N\binom{k}{n}e^{-nt}\left(1-e^{-t}\right)^{k-n}x_k\,. \tag{72}$$

The claim then follows from an explicit computation.

We relax the passivity hypothesis, and consider all the operators as in (70) with $x \in \mathcal{P}_N$.

In fact, let us consider the functional

$$\mathcal{F}_0(x) := F_0(x) + \mu(w,a)\, S\left(\hat{X}^{1/a}\right) , \tag{73}$$

where $\hat{X}$ is as in (70) with constraints

$$x_0, \dots, x_N \geq 0 , \quad \sum_{n=0}^{N} x_n^{1/a} = 1 , \tag{74}$$

and

$$F_0(x) := \frac{d}{ds} \ln \left\| e^{t\mathcal{L}} \hat{X} \right\|_{1/a} \Big|_{t=0} = \sum_{n=1}^{N} n\, x_n^{1/a} \left[\left(\frac{x_{n-1}}{x_n} \right)^{1/a-1} - 1 \right] , \tag{75}$$

where we have used Lemma 7. Since $\mathcal{F}_0$ is continuous on $\mathcal{P}_N$, it admits a global maximizer $x^{(N)} \in \mathcal{P}_N$. From Lemma 6, $x^{(N)} \in \mathcal{D}_N$.

$x^{(N)}$ satisfies the Karush-Kuhn-Tucker (KKT) [44] necessary conditions for the maximization of $\mathcal{F}_0$ with the constraints (74). We then introduce the Lagrangian

$$L_0(x,\lambda) := F_0(x) + \mu(w,a)\; S\left(\hat{X}^{1/a}\right) - \lambda \sum_{n=0}^{N} x_n^{1/a} . \tag{76}$$

Let $0 \leq N' \leq N$ be as in Definition 2.

Lemma 8. *One has $x_n^{(N)} > 0$ for any $n = 0, \dots, N$.*

Proof. If $N' < N$, the KKT dual feasibility condition associated to $x_{N'+1}$ reads

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{N'+1}} L_0(x,\lambda) \Big|_{x=x^{(N)}} \leq 0 . \tag{77}$$

Since $0 < a < 1$, $x_{N'+1}^{(N)} = 0$ and $x_{N'}^{(N)} > 0$, we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{N'+1}} x_{N'+1}^{1/a} \Big|_{x_{N'+1}=0} = 0 , \tag{78}$$

hence the left hand side of (77) reduces to $(N'+1)\, {x_{N'}^{(N)}}^{\frac{1}{a}-1} > 0$, yielding a contradiction.

For $n = 0, \dots, N$ we make the variable change $p_n = x_n^{1/a}$. From the constraint (74), p is a probability distribution on $\{0, \dots, N\}$. The Lagrangian in the new variable is

$$L(p,\lambda) = \mathcal{F}(p) - \lambda \sum_{n=0}^{N} p_n , \tag{79}$$

where we have defined

$$\mathcal{F}(p) := F(p) + \nu(\bar{z}, a)\, S(p)\ , \tag{80}$$

$$\bar{z} := w^{\frac{1}{a}}\ , \tag{81}$$

$$\nu(z, a) := \mu(z^a, a) = \frac{a\, z^{a-1} - 1 + (1-a)\, z^a}{\ln z}\ , \tag{82}$$

$$F(p) := \sum_{n=1}^{N} n\, p_n\, h\left(\frac{p_n}{p_{n-1}}\right)\ , \tag{83}$$

$$S(p) := -\sum_{n=0}^{N} p_n \ln p_n\ , \tag{84}$$

$$h(z) := z^{a-1} - 1\ . \tag{85}$$

The KKT stationarity conditions read

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \frac{\partial}{\partial p_n} L(p, \lambda)\Big|_{p=p^{(N)}} \\ &= n\, h\left(\frac{p_n^{(N)}}{p_{n-1}^{(N)}}\right) + n\frac{p_n^{(N)}}{p_{n-1}^{(N)}} h'\left(\frac{p_n^{(N)}}{p_{n-1}^{(N)}}\right) - (n+1)\left(\frac{p_{n+1}^{(N)}}{p_n^{(N)}}\right)^2 h'\left(\frac{p_{n+1}^{(N)}}{p_n^{(N)}}\right) \\ &\quad - \nu(\bar{z}, a)\left(\ln p_n^{(N)} + 1\right) - \lambda \end{aligned} \tag{86}$$

for $n = 1, \dots, N-1$. Actually, the same equation holds true for $n = 0$ in the form

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial p_0} L(p, \lambda)\Big|_{p=p^{(N)}} = -\left(\frac{p_1^{(N)}}{p_0^{(N)}}\right)^2 h'\left(\frac{p_1^{(N)}}{p_0^{(N)}}\right) - \nu(\bar{z}, a)\left(\ln p_0^{(N)} + 1\right) - \lambda = 0\ , \tag{87}$$

and for $n = N$ in the form

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\partial}{\partial p_N} L(p, \lambda)\Big|_{p=p^{(N)}} \\ &= N\, h\left(\frac{p_N^{(N)}}{p_{N-1}^{(N)}}\right) + N\frac{p_N^{(N)}}{p_{N-1}^{(N)}} h'\left(\frac{p_N^{(N)}}{p_{N-1}^{(N)}}\right) - \nu(\bar{z}, a)\left(\ln p_N^{(N)} + 1\right) - \lambda = 0\ , \end{aligned} \tag{88}$$

or equivalently we may define $p_{N+1}^{(N)} = 0$ and set $p_{-1}^{(N)}$ to an arbitrary positive value and use (86) for $n = 0, \dots, N$.

Lemma 9. *For any $N \in \mathbb{N}$, any $0 < a < 1$ and any probability distribution p on $\{0, \dots, N\}$*

$$F(p) \leq 1 - a\ , \tag{89}$$

and the claim (32) follows.

Proof. Let us define $E := \sum_{n=1}^{N} np_n$. *If* $E = 0$, *then* $p_0 = 1$ *and* $p_1 = \ldots = p_N = 0$, *and the left-hand side of* (89) *vanishes. We can then suppose* $E > 0$. *Since the function* $z^{1-a} - 1$ *is concave, Jensen's inequality implies*

$$\begin{aligned} E\sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{np_n}{E}\left(\left(\frac{p_{n-1}}{p_n}\right)^{1-a} - 1\right) &\leq E\left(\left(\sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{np_n}{E}\frac{p_{n-1}}{p_n}\right)^{1-a} - 1\right) \\ &= E\left(\left(\frac{E+1-(N+1)\,p_N}{E}\right)^{1-a} - 1\right) \\ &\leq E\left(\left(1+\frac{1}{E}\right)^{1-a} - 1\right) \leq 1-a\,, \end{aligned} \tag{90}$$

where in the last step we have used the concavity of z^{1-a} *again.*

For $n = 0, \ldots, N$ we define

$$z_n^{(N)} := \frac{p_{n+1}^{(N)}}{p_n^{(N)}}\,, \tag{91}$$

so that $0 < z_n^{(N)} \leq 1$ for $n = 0, \ldots, N-1$ and $z_N^{(N)} = 0$. For $n = 1, \ldots, N$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial p_n} - \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{n-1}}\right) L(p,\lambda)\Big|_{p=p^{(N)}} \\ &= n\,h\left(z_{n-1}^{(N)}\right) + nz_{n-1}^{(N)}\,h'\left(z_{n-1}^{(N)}\right) - (n+1)\,{z_n^{(N)}}^2 h'\left(z_n^{(N)}\right) \\ &\quad - (n-1)\,h\left(z_{n-2}^{(N)}\right) - (n-1)\,z_{n-2}^{(N)} h'\left(z_{n-2}^{(N)}\right) \\ &\quad + n{z_{n-1}^{(N)}}^2 h'\left(z_{n-1}^{(N)}\right) - \nu(\bar{z},a)\ln z_{n-1}^{(N)} = 0\,. \end{aligned} \tag{92}$$

We compute

$$z^2\,h'(z) = (a-1)\,z^a\,, \qquad h\,(z) + z\,h'\,(z) = a\,z^{a-1} - 1\,. \tag{93}$$

We notice that both functions are monotone decreasing, and

$$\nu(z,a) = \frac{h(z) + z\,h'(z) - z^2\,h'(z)}{\ln z}\,. \tag{94}$$

Then, (92) can be recast as

$$\begin{aligned} &(n+1)\left[{z_n^{(N)}}^2 h'\left(z_n^{(N)}\right) - {z_{n-1}^{(N)}}^2 h'\left(z_{n-1}^{(N)}\right)\right] \\ &= (n-1)\left[\left(h\left(z_{n-1}^{(N)}\right) + z_{n-1}^{(N)} h'\left(z_{n-1}^{(N)}\right)\right) - \left(h\left(z_{n-2}^{(N)}\right) + z_{n-2}^{(N)} h'\left(z_{n-2}^{(N)}\right)\right)\right] \\ &\quad + \left(\nu\left(z_{n-1}^{(N)},a\right) - \nu(\bar{z},a)\right)\ln z_{n-1}^{(N)}\,. \end{aligned} \tag{95}$$

Lemma 10. *For any* $0 < a < 1$ *the function* $z \mapsto \nu(z,a)$ *is strictly increasing and maps* $(0,1)$ *into* $(-\infty, 0)$.

Proof. We have to prove

$$0 \overset{?}{<} \frac{\partial}{\partial z}\nu(z,a) = \frac{a(a-1)z^{a-1}(1-z)\ln z - \left(az^{a-1} - (a-1)z^a - 1\right)}{z(\ln z)^2} , \quad (96)$$

which reduces to the inequality

$$a(a-1)(1-z)\ln z - a + (a-1)z + z^{1-a} \overset{?}{>} 0 . \quad (97)$$

We have equality in (97) for $z = 1$, and the derivative of the left-hand side with respect to z is

$$(1-a)a\left(a(z-1)+1\right)z^{a-2}\ln z < 0 \quad (98)$$

hence the inequality follows.

For the second part, it is sufficient to notice that $\lim_{z\to 0}\nu(z,a) = -\infty$ and $\lim_{z\to 1}\nu(1,a) = 0$.

Lemma 11. *One has $\bar{z} \geq z_0^{(N)} \geq z_1^{(N)} \geq \ldots$.*

Proof. Let us first assume that $\bar{z} < z_0^{(N)}$, hence $\nu(\bar{z},a) < \nu\left(z_0^{(N)},a\right)$ from Lemma 10. We then have from (95) with $n = 1$

$$2\left[{z_1^{(N)}}^2 h'(z_1^{(N)}) - {z_0^{(N)}}^2 h'(z_0^{(N)})\right] = \left(\nu\left(z_0^{(N)},a\right) - \nu(\bar{z},a)\right)\ln z_0^{(N)} < 0 , \quad (99)$$

thus $z_1^{(N)} > z_0^{(N)}$ since $z^2h'(z)$ is strictly decreasing. We will prove by induction that $z_n^{(N)} > z_{n-1}^{(N)}$ for $n = 1,\ldots,N$. This yields a contradiction for $n = N$ since $z_N^{(N)} = 0$. The claim is true for $n = 1$. We can assume from the inductive hypothesis $z_{n-1}^{(N)} > z_{n-2}^{(N)} > \ldots > z_0^{(N)}$, $n \geq 2$. We then have $\nu\left(z_{n-1}^{(N)},a\right) > \nu\left(z_0^{(N)},a\right) > \nu\left(\bar{z},a\right)$. Since $h\left(z\right) + zh'\left(z\right)$ is decreasing, we get from (95)

$$\begin{aligned}
&(n+1)\left[{z_n^{(N)}}^2 h'\left(z_n^{(N)}\right) - {z_{n-1}^{(N)}}^2 h'\left(z_{n-1}^{(N)}\right)\right] \\
&= (n-1)\left[\left(h\left(z_{n-1}^{(N)}\right) + z_{n-1}^{(N)}h'\left(z_{n-1}^{(N)}\right)\right) - \left(h\left(z_{n-2}^{(N)}\right) + z_{n-2}^{(N)}h'\left(z_{n-2}^{(N)}\right)\right)\right] \\
&\quad + \left(\nu\left(z_{n-1}^{(N)},a\right) - \nu(\bar{z},a)\right)\ln z_{n-1}^{(N)} \\
&< (n-1)\left[\left(h\left(z_{n-1}^{(N)}\right) + z_{n-1}^{(N)}h'\left(z_{n-1}^{(N)}\right)\right) - \left(h\left(z_{n-2}^{(N)}\right) + z_{n-2}^{(N)}h'\left(z_{n-2}^{(N)}\right)\right)\right] \\
&< 0 .
\end{aligned} \quad (100)$$

Since $z^2h'(z)$ is strictly decreasing, we have $z_n^{(N)} > z_{n-1}^{(N)}$.

We must then have $z_N^{(0)} < \bar{z}$, hence $\nu\left(z_0^{(N)},a\right) \leq \nu(\bar{z},a)$. Thus, from (95) with $n = 1$,

$$2\left[{z_1^{(N)}}^2 h'\left(z_1^{(N)}\right) - {z_0^{(N)}}^2 h'\left(z_0^{(N)}\right)\right] = \left(\nu\left(z_0^{(N)},a\right) - \nu(\bar{z},a)\right)\ln z_0^{(N)} \geq 0 , \quad (101)$$

thus $z_1^{(N)} \leq z_0^{(N)}$ since $z^2 h'(z)$ is strictly decreasing. Let us prove by induction that $z_n^{(N)} \leq z_{n-1}^{(N)}$ for $n = 1, \ldots, N$. The claim is true for $n = 1$. We can assume from the inductive hypothesis $z_{n-1}^{(N)} \leq z_{n-2}^{(N)} \leq \ldots \leq z_0^{(N)}$, $n \geq 2$, hence $\nu\left(z_{n-1}^{(N)}, a\right) \leq \nu\left(z_0^{(N)}, a\right) \leq \nu\left(\bar{z}, a\right)$. Since $h\left(z\right) + z h'\left(z\right)$ is decreasing, we get from (95)

$$\begin{aligned}
&(n+1)\left[{z_n^{(N)}}^2 h'\left(z_n^{(N)}\right) - {z_{n-1}^{(N)}}^2 h'\left(z_{n-1}^{(N)}\right)\right] \\
&= (n-1)\left[\left(h\left(z_{n-1}^{(N)}\right) + z_{n-1}^{(N)} h'\left(z_{n-1}^{(N)}\right)\right) - \left(h\left(z_{n-2}^{(N)}\right) + z_{n-2}^{(N)} h'\left(z_{n-2}^{(N)}\right)\right)\right] \\
&\quad + \left(\nu\left(z_{n-1}^{(N)}, a\right) - \nu(\bar{z}, a)\right) \ln z_{n-1}^{(N)} \\
&\geq (n-1)\left[\left(h\left(z_{n-1}^{(N)}\right) + z_{n-1}^{(N)} h'\left(z_{n-1}^{(N)}\right)\right) - \left(h\left(z_{n-2}^{(N)}\right) + z_{n-2}^{(N)} h'\left(z_{n-2}^{(N)}\right)\right)\right] \\
&\geq 0\,, \qquad (102)
\end{aligned}$$

and since $z^2 h'(z)$ is strictly decreasing, we have $z_n^{(N)} \leq z_{n-1}^{(N)}$.

Let us now consider the dependence on N. With a diagonal argument, there exists a subsequence $\{N_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ such that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} z_n^{(N_k)} = z_n\,, \qquad \lim_{k\to\infty} p_n^{(N_k)} = p_n\,. \qquad (103)$$

Since monotonicity is preserved in the limit, we have

$$1 > \bar{z} \geq z_0 \geq z_1 \geq \ldots\,. \qquad (104)$$

Lemma 12. *The z_n are all strictly positive and satisfy for any $n \geq 1$ the recursive relation*

$$\begin{aligned}
&(n+1)\left[z_n^2 h'(z_n) - z_{n-1}^2 h'(z_{n-1})\right] \\
&= (n-1)\left[\left(h\left(z_{n-1}\right) + z_{n-1} h'\left(z_{n-1}\right)\right) - \left(h\left(z_{n-2}\right) + z_{n-2} h'\left(z_{n-2}\right)\right)\right] \\
&\quad + \left(\nu(z_{n-1}, a) - \nu(\bar{z}, a)\right) \ln z_{n-1}\,. \qquad (105)
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. If $z_0 = 0$, since $z_n^{(N)}$ is decreasing in n we have for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\limsup_{k\to\infty} z_n^{(N_k)} \leq \limsup_{k\to\infty} z_0^{(N_k)} = z_0 = 0\,, \qquad (106)$$

hence $z_n = \lim_{k\to\infty} z_n^{(N_k)} = 0$ for any n. Since $z_{n-1}^{(N)} \leq z_{n-2}^{(N)}$ and the function $h(z) + z h'(z)$ is decreasing, we get from (95)

$$\nu(\bar{z}, a) \leq \nu\left(z_{n-1}^{(N_k)}, a\right) + (n+1)\,\frac{{z_n^{(N_k)}}^2\, h'\left(z_n^{(N_k)}\right) - {z_{n-1}^{(N_k)}}^2\, h'\left(z_{n-1}^{(N_k)}\right)}{-\ln z_{n-1}^{(N_k)}}\,. \qquad (107)$$

Since $\lim_{z\to 0} z^2 h'(z) = 0$ and $\lim_{z\to 0} \nu(z, a) = -\infty$, the right-hand side of (107) tends to $-\infty$ for $k \to \infty$, giving a contradiction.

We must then have $z_0 > 0$. We proceed by induction on n. From the inductive hypothesis, we can suppose

$$z_0 = \lim_{k\to\infty} z_0^{(N_k)} \geq \ldots \geq \lim_{k\to\infty} z_{n-1}^{(N_k)} = z_{n-1} > 0 \,. \tag{108}$$

Let us suppose $z_n = 0$. Since the function $z \mapsto z^2 h'(z)$ is nonpositive and continuous for any $0 \leq z \leq 1$, taking the limit $k \to \infty$ in (95) with $n+1$ in place of n we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\geq (n+2)\, z_{n+1}^2 h'(z_{n+1}) \\ &= \lim_{k\to\infty} \left((n+1) \left(h\left(z_n^{(N_k)}\right) + z_n^{(N_k)}\, h'\left(z_n^{(N_k)}\right)\right) - \nu(\bar{z},a) \ln z_n^{(N_k)} \right) \\ &\quad - h(z_{n-1}) - z_{n-1}\, h'(z_{n-1}) = \infty \,, \end{aligned} \tag{109}$$

where we have used that $h(z) + z h'(z) = \mathcal{O}(z^{a-1})$ and $z^2 h'(z) \to 0$ for $z \to 0$. Since (109) contains a contradiction, we must have $z_n > 0$, and (105) follows taking the limit $k \to \infty$ in (95).

Lemma 13. *$z_n = \bar{z} < 1$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Proof. From (104) and Lemma 12 we have $0 < z_0 \leq \bar{z} < 1$. Since the sequence z_n is positive and decreasing, it has a limit $\lim_{n\to\infty} z_n = \inf_{n\in\mathbb{N}} z_n = \bar{z}'$, that satisfies

$$0 \leq \bar{z}' \leq z_0 \leq \bar{z} < 1 \,. \tag{110}$$

Since the function $h(z) + z h'(z)$ is decreasing and $z_{n-1} \leq z_{n-2}$, the recursive relation (105) implies

$$(n+1) \left(z_n^2 h'(z_n) - z_{n-1}^2 h'(z_{n-1}) \right) \geq \left(\nu(z_{n-1},a) - \nu(\bar{z},a) \right) \ln z_{n-1} \,, \tag{111}$$

hence

$$\nu(\bar{z},a) \leq \frac{(n+1) \left(z_n^2 h'(z_n) - z_{n-1}^2 h'(z_{n-1}) \right)}{-\ln z_{n-1}} + \nu(z_{n-1},a) \,. \tag{112}$$

Since the function $z^2 h'(z)$ is bounded and decreasing for $0 \leq z \leq 1$ we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(z_n^2 h'(z_n) - z_{n-1}^2 h'(z_{n-1}) \right) = z^2 h'(z) - z_0^2 h'(z_0) < \infty \,. \tag{113}$$

Since $z_n \leq z_{n-1}$, the sequence $\left\{ z_n^2 h'(z_n) - z_{n-1}^2 h'(z_{n-1}) \right\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ is positive and summable, hence

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} (n+1) \left(z_n^2 h'(z_n) - z_{n-1}^2 h'(z_{n-1}) \right) = 0 \,. \tag{114}$$

Since $-\ln z_{n-1} \geq -\ln z_0 > 0$, we also have

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} \frac{(n+1) \left(z_n^2 h'(z_n) - z_{n-1}^2 h'(z_{n-1}) \right)}{-\ln z_{n-1}} = 0 \,, \tag{115}$$

and taking the $\liminf$ for $n \to \infty$ of (112) we get

$$\nu(\bar{z},a) \leq \nu(\bar{z}',a) \,, \tag{116}$$

hence $\bar{z} \leq \bar{z}'$. Since from (110) also the converse inequality holds, we must have $\bar{z}' = z_0 = \bar{z}$. Since z_n is decreasing and $\bar{z} = \bar{z}' = \inf_{n\in\mathbb{N}} z_n$, we have $z_0 = \bar{z} \leq z_n \leq z_0$ for any n, hence $z_n = \bar{z}$.

Lemma 14. $\lim_{k\to\infty} p_n^{(N_k)} = p_0\,\bar{z}^n$ *for any* $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. The claim is true for $n = 0$. *The inductive hypothesis is*

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} p_{n'}^{(N_k)} = p_0 \bar{z}^{n'}\,, \qquad n' = 0, \ldots, n\,. \tag{117}$$

We then have $\lim_{k\to\infty} p_{n+1}^{(N_k)} = \lim_{k\to\infty} p_n^{(N_k)} z_n^{(N_k)} = p_0\,\bar{z}^{n+1}$, *where we have used the inductive hypothesis and Lemma 13.*

Lemma 15. *We have* $p_0 = 1 - \bar{z}$, *hence* $\lim_{k\to\infty} p_n^{(N_k)} = (1-\bar{z})\,\bar{z}^n$ *for any* $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. We have $\sum_{n=0}^{N} p_n^{(N)} = 1$ *for any* $N \in \mathbb{N}$. *Moreover, since* $z_n^{(N)}$ *is decreasing in* n, *we also have*

$$p_n^{(N)} = p_0^{(N)} z_0^{(N)} \ldots z_{n-1}^{(N)} \leq p_0^{(N)} \left(z_0^{(N)}\right)^n\,. \tag{118}$$

We have $\lim_{k\to\infty} z_0^{(N_k)} = \bar{z} < 1$, *hence* $z_0^{(N_k)} \leq (1+\bar{z})/2$ *for sufficiently large* k, *and since* $p_0^{(N)} \leq 1$,

$$p_n^{(N_k)} \leq \left(\frac{1+\bar{z}}{2}\right)^n\,. \tag{119}$$

The sums $\sum_{n=0}^{N_k} p_n^{(N_k)}$ *are then dominated for any* $k \in \mathbb{N}$ *by* $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1+\bar{z}}{2}\right) < \infty$, *and from the dominated convergence theorem we have*

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= \lim_{k\to\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{N_k} p_n^{(N_k)} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lim_{k\to\infty} p_n^{(N_k)} = p_0 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \bar{z}^n \\ &= \frac{p_0}{1-\bar{z}}\,, \end{aligned} \tag{120}$$

where we have used Lemma 14.

Lemma 16. $\lim_{k\to\infty} S\left(p^{(N_k)}\right) = S(\bar{z})$.

Proof. The function $-x\ln x$ *is increasing for* $0 \leq x \leq 1/e$. *Let us choose* n_0 *such that* $((1+\bar{z})/2)^{n_0} \leq 1/e$. *Recalling (119), the sums*

$$-\sum_{n=n_0}^{N_k} p_n^{(N_k)} \ln p_n^{(N_k)} \tag{121}$$

are dominated for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ *by* $-\sum_{n=n_0}^{\infty} n\left(\frac{1+\bar{z}}{2}\right)^n \ln\frac{1+\bar{z}}{2} < \infty$. *Then, from the dominated convergence theorem and Lemma 15 we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{k\to\infty} S\left(p^{(N_k)}\right) &= -\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_n^{(N_k)} \ln p_n^{(N_k)} \\ &= -\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (1-\bar{z})\,\bar{z}^n \left(\ln(1-\bar{z}) + n\ln\bar{z}\right) = S(\bar{z})\,. \end{aligned} \tag{122}$$

Lemma 17. $\lim_{k\to\infty} F\left(p^{(N_k)}\right) = \frac{\bar{z}\,h(\bar{z})}{1-\bar{z}}$

Proof. We can rewrite

$$F\left(p^{(N_k)}\right) = \sum_{n=1}^{N_k} n\, p_{n-1}^{(N_k)}\, z_{n-1}^{(N_k)}\, h\left(z_{n-1}^{(N_k)}\right) \,. \tag{123}$$

For any $0 \le z \le 1$ *we have*

$$0 \le z\,h(z) = z^a - z \le 2\,, \tag{124}$$

and from (119) *the sums in* (123) *are dominated by* $2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n\left(\frac{1+\bar{z}}{2}\right)^n < \infty$. *Then, from the dominated convergence theorem and Lemma 15 we have*

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} F\left(p^{(N_k)}\right) = h(\bar{z}) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n\,(1-\bar{z})\,\bar{z}^n = \frac{\bar{z}\,h(\bar{z})}{1-\bar{z}}\,. \tag{125}$$

Since $\mathcal{P}_N \subset \mathcal{P}_{N'}$ for any $N < N'$, the sequence $\left\{\mathcal{F}\left(p^{(N)}\right)\right\}_{N\in\mathbb{N}}$ is increasing. We then have for any $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and any probability distribution p supported on $\{0,\dots,N\}$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}(p) &\le \mathcal{F}\left(p^{(N)}\right) \le \sup_{N'\in\mathbb{N}} \mathcal{F}\left(p^{(N')}\right) = \lim_{N'\to\infty} \mathcal{F}\left(p^{(N')}\right) = \lim_{k\to\infty} \mathcal{F}\left(p^{(N_k)}\right) \\ &= \frac{\bar{z}\,h(\bar{z})}{1-\bar{z}} + \nu(\bar{z},a)\,S(\bar{z}) = \mathcal{F}\left(\hat{\omega}_{\bar{z}}\right)\,,\end{aligned} \tag{126}$$

and the claim follows since

$$\hat{\omega}_{\bar{z}} = \frac{\hat{\omega}_w^{1/a}}{\mathrm{Tr}\,\hat{\omega}_w^{1/a}}\,. \tag{127}$$

6. Proof of Theorem 2

With the same argument we used for the attenuator, we can reduce to $\hat{X}$ positive, passive and with finite support. In the proof we will repeatedly use

Theorem 5 (Hölder's inequality). *For any two commuting positive matrices* $\hat{A}$ *and* $\hat{B}$ *and any* $p > 1$

$$\mathrm{Tr}\left[\hat{A}\,\hat{B}\right] \le \left\|\hat{A}\right\|_p \left\|\hat{B}\right\|_{p'}\,, \tag{128}$$

where

$$p' := \frac{p}{p-1} \tag{129}$$

satisfies

$$\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1\,. \tag{130}$$

6.1. 1<p<q. Recalling that the dual of $\mathcal{A}_\kappa$ is $\frac{1}{\kappa}\mathcal{E}_{\frac{1}{\kappa}}$, and that both $\mathcal{A}_\kappa$ and $\mathcal{E}_{\frac{1}{\kappa}}$ preserve the set of Fock-diagonal states, Hölder's inequality gives

$$\begin{aligned}\left\|\mathcal{A}_\kappa\left(\hat{X}\right)\right\|_q^q &= \frac{1}{\kappa}\mathrm{Tr}\left[\hat{X}\,\mathcal{E}_{\frac{1}{\kappa}}\left(\mathcal{A}_\kappa\left(\hat{X}\right)^{q-1}\right)\right]\\ &\leq \frac{1}{\kappa}\left\|\hat{X}\right\|_p\left\|\mathcal{E}_{\frac{1}{\kappa}}\left(\mathcal{A}_\kappa\left(\hat{X}\right)^{q-1}\right)\right\|_{p'}\\ &\leq \left\|\hat{X}\right\|_p\left\|\mathcal{A}_\kappa\left(\hat{X}\right)^{q-1}\right\|_{q'}\frac{\left\|\mathcal{E}_{\frac{1}{\kappa}}\left(\hat{\omega}\right)\right\|_{p'}}{\kappa\left\|\hat{\omega}\right\|_{q'}}\\ &= \left\|\hat{X}\right\|_p\left\|\mathcal{A}_\kappa\left(\hat{X}\right)\right\|_q^{q-1}\frac{\left\|\mathcal{E}_{\frac{1}{\kappa}}\left(\hat{\omega}\right)\right\|_{p'}}{\kappa\left\|\hat{\omega}\right\|_{q'}}\,,\end{aligned} \tag{131}$$

where $\hat{\omega}$ is the Gaussian state that saturates the $q'\to p'$ norm of $\mathcal{E}_{1/\kappa}$ according to Theorem 1 ($1<p<q$ implies $1<q'<p'$). Then,

$$\frac{\left\|\mathcal{A}_\kappa\left(\hat{X}\right)\right\|_q}{\left\|\hat{X}\right\|_p}\leq\frac{\left\|\mathcal{E}_{\frac{1}{\kappa}}\left(\hat{\omega}\right)\right\|_{p'}}{\kappa\left\|\hat{\omega}\right\|_{q'}}\,. \tag{132}$$

Since the dual of $\frac{1}{\kappa}\mathcal{E}_\kappa$ is $\mathcal{A}_\kappa$ again, Hölder's inequality gives

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{\kappa}\left\|\mathcal{E}_{\frac{1}{\kappa}}\left(\hat{\omega}\right)\right\|_{p'}^{p'} &= \mathrm{Tr}\left[\hat{\omega}\,\mathcal{A}_\kappa\left(\mathcal{E}_{\frac{1}{\kappa}}\left(\hat{\omega}\right)^{p'-1}\right)\right]\\ &\leq \left\|\hat{\omega}\right\|_{q'}\left\|\mathcal{A}_\kappa\left(\mathcal{E}_{\frac{1}{\kappa}}\left(\hat{\omega}\right)^{p'-1}\right)\right\|_q\,,\end{aligned} \tag{133}$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\left\|\mathcal{E}_{\frac{1}{\kappa}}\left(\hat{\omega}\right)\right\|_{p'}}{\kappa\left\|\hat{\omega}\right\|_{q'}} &\leq \frac{\left\|\mathcal{A}_\kappa\left(\mathcal{E}_{\frac{1}{\kappa}}\left(\hat{\omega}\right)^{p'-1}\right)\right\|_q}{\left\|\mathcal{E}_{\frac{1}{\kappa}}\left(\hat{\omega}\right)\right\|_{p'}^{p'-1}}\\ &= \frac{\left\|\mathcal{A}_\kappa\left(\mathcal{E}_{\frac{1}{\kappa}}\left(\hat{\omega}\right)^{p'-1}\right)\right\|_q}{\left\|\mathcal{E}_{\frac{1}{\kappa}}\left(\hat{\omega}\right)^{p'-1}\right\|_p}\,.\end{aligned} \tag{134}$$

Putting together (132) and (134) we get that the $p\to q$ norm of $\mathcal{A}_\kappa$ is saturated by the quantum state

$$\hat{\omega}':=\frac{\mathcal{E}_{\frac{1}{\kappa}}\left(\hat{\omega}\right)^{p'-1}}{\mathrm{Tr}\,\mathcal{E}_{\frac{1}{\kappa}}\left(\hat{\omega}\right)^{p'-1}}\,. \tag{135}$$

Since $\hat{\omega}$ is a thermal Gaussian state, also $\mathcal{E}_{\frac{1}{\kappa}}\left(\hat{\omega}\right)$ is a (different) thermal Gaussian state. Finally, from (10) any positive power of a thermal Gaussian state is proportional to another thermal Gaussian state, hence also $\hat{\omega}'$ is a thermal Gaussian state.

6.2. p=q>*1.* Recalling that the dual of $\mathcal{A}_\kappa$ is $\frac{1}{\kappa}\mathcal{E}_{\frac{1}{\kappa}}$, and that both $\mathcal{A}_\kappa$ and $\mathcal{E}_{\frac{1}{\kappa}}$ preserve the set of Fock-diagonal states, Hölder's inequality gives

$$\begin{aligned}\left\|\mathcal{A}_\kappa\left(\hat{X}\right)\right\|_p^p &= \frac{1}{\kappa}\mathrm{Tr}\left[\hat{X}\,\mathcal{E}_{\frac{1}{\kappa}}\left(\mathcal{A}_\kappa\left(\hat{X}\right)^{p-1}\right)\right]\\ &\leq \frac{1}{\kappa}\left\|\hat{X}\right\|_p\left\|\mathcal{E}_{\frac{1}{\kappa}}\left(\mathcal{A}_\kappa\left(\hat{X}\right)^{p-1}\right)\right\|_{p'}\\ &\leq \left\|\hat{X}\right\|_p\left\|\mathcal{A}_\kappa\left(\hat{X}\right)^{p-1}\right\|_{p'}\frac{\left\|\mathcal{E}_{\frac{1}{\kappa}}\right\|_{p'\to p'}}{\kappa}\\ &= \left\|\hat{X}\right\|_p\left\|\mathcal{A}_\kappa\left(\hat{X}\right)\right\|_p^{p-1}\kappa^{\frac{1-p}{p}}\,, \end{aligned} \tag{136}$$

where we have used (25), hence

$$\left\|\mathcal{A}_\kappa\right\|_{p\to p} \leq \kappa^{\frac{1-p}{p}}\,. \tag{137}$$

Moreover, using (23) and (63) we have

$$\lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\frac{\left\|\mathcal{A}_\kappa\left(\hat{\omega}_{1-\epsilon}\right)\right\|_p}{\left\|\hat{\omega}_{1-\epsilon}\right\|_p} = \lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\frac{f_p\left(1-\frac{\epsilon}{\kappa}\right)}{f_p(1-\epsilon)} = \kappa^{\frac{1-p}{p}}\,. \tag{138}$$

6.3. 1<q<p. We have from (23) and (63)

$$\lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\frac{\left\|\mathcal{A}_\kappa\left(\hat{\omega}_{1-\epsilon}\right)\right\|_q}{\left\|\hat{\omega}_{1-\epsilon}\right\|_p} = \lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\frac{f_q\left(1-\frac{\epsilon}{\kappa}\right)}{f_p(1-\epsilon)} = \infty\,. \tag{139}$$

7. The thinning

The thinning [28] is the map acting on classical probability distributions on the set of natural numbers that is the discrete analogue of the continuous rescaling operation on positive real numbers.

Definition 3 (Thinning). *Let N be a random variable with values in $\mathbb{N}$. The thinning with parameter $0\leq\lambda\leq 1$ is defined as*

$$T_\lambda(N) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} B_i\,, \tag{140}$$

where the $\{B_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}^+}$ are independent Bernoulli variables with parameter λ, i.e. each B_i is one with probability λ, and zero with probability $1-\lambda$.

From a physical point of view, the thinning can be understood as follows: each incoming photon has probability λ of being transmitted, and $1-\lambda$ of being reflected or absorbed. Let N be the random variable associated to the number of

incoming photons, and $\{p_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ its probability distribution, i.e. p_n is the probability that $N = n$ (i.e. that n photons are sent). Then, $T_\lambda(p)$ is the probability distribution of the number of transmitted photons. It is easy to show that

$$[T_\lambda(p)]_n = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} r_{n|k}\, p_k \,, \tag{141}$$

where the transition probabilities $r_{n|k}$ are given by

$$r_{n|k} = \binom{k}{n} \lambda^n (1-\lambda)^{k-n} \,, \tag{142}$$

and vanish for $k < n$.

The thinning coincides with the restriction of the attenuator to input states diagonal in the Fock basis:

Theorem 6. *Let $\mathcal{E}_\lambda$ and T_λ be the quantum-limited attenuator and the thinning of parameter $0 \le \lambda \le 1$, respectively. Then for any probability distribution p on $\mathbb{N}$*

$$\mathcal{E}_\lambda \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_n\, |n\rangle\langle n| \right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} [T_\lambda(p)]_n\ |n\rangle\langle n| \,. \tag{143}$$

Proof. See Theorem 56 of [19].

Thanks to Theorem 6, our main result applies also to the thinning:

Theorem 7 ($p \to q$ norms). *For any $1 < p < q$ and any $0 < \lambda < 1$ the $p \to q$ norm of T_λ is saturated by a geometric probability distribution*

$$\omega_n(z) = (1-z)\, z^n \,, \qquad n \in \mathbb{N} \tag{144}$$

with $0 < z < 1$ depending on λ, p and q, i.e. for any sequence $\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of complex numbers with $\|x\|_p < \infty$

$$\frac{\|T_\lambda(x)\|_q}{\|x\|_p} \le \frac{\|T_\lambda(\omega)\|_q}{\|\omega\|_p} \,. \tag{145}$$

For any $p > 1$ and any $0 < \lambda < 1$ the $p \to p$ norm of T_λ is asymptotically saturated by geometric probability distributions with $z \to 1$, i.e. for any sequence $\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of complex numbers with $\|x\|_p < \infty$

$$\frac{\|T_\lambda(x)\|_p}{\|x\|_p} \le \lim_{z\to 1} \frac{\|T_\lambda(\omega(z))\|_p}{\|\omega(z)\|_p} = \lambda^{\frac{1-p}{p}} \,. \tag{146}$$

For any $1 < q < p$ and any $0 < \lambda < 1$ the $p \to q$ norm of T_λ is infinite and is asymptotically saturated by geometric probability distributions with $z \to 1$:

$$\lim_{z\to 1} \frac{\|T_\lambda(\omega(z))\|_q}{\|\omega(z)\|_p} = \infty \,. \tag{147}$$

8. Conclusions

We have proved that Gaussian states saturate the $p \to q$ norms of the two building blocks of one-mode phase-covariant quantum Gaussian channels: the quantum-limited attenuator and amplifier. This result will permit to prove that Gaussian states minimize the output entropy of any one-mode phase-covariant quantum Gaussian channel among all the input states with a given entropy.

The Gaussian majorization conjecture [10, 17] holds also in the multimode scenario. We then conjecture that multimode Gaussian states saturate the $p \to q$ norms of multimode phase-covariant quantum Gaussian channels. This result would imply the multiplicativity of such norms [10]

$$\|\Phi \otimes \Phi'\|_{p\to q} = \|\Phi\|_{p\to q} \|\Phi'\|_{p\to q} \tag{148}$$

for any two multimode phase-covariant quantum Gaussian channels Φ and Φ', proven so far only for $p = 1$ [10]. While the multiplicativity of the norms of any two classical integral kernels is easy to prove [1], in the quantum case entanglement makes it a highly nontrivial property that does not necessarily hold (see [8] and references therein for a review). Our proof relies on the majorization results of [19], that fail in the multimode scenario (see [45], Section IV.A). The multimode extension will be an open challenge for the future.

References

1. E. H. Lieb, "Gaussian kernels have only gaussian maximizers," *Inventiones mathematicae*, vol. 102, no. 1, pp. 179–208, 1990.
2. T. Cover and J. Thomas, *Elements of Information Theory*, ser. A Wiley-Interscience publication. Wiley, 2006.
3. E. H. Lieb, "Proof of an entropy conjecture of wehrl," *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, vol. 62, no. 1, pp. 35–41, 1978.
4. E. H. Lieb and J. P. Solovej, "Proof of an entropy conjecture for bloch coherent spin states and its generalizations," *Acta Mathematica*, vol. 212, no. 2, pp. 379–398, 2014.
5. A. Wehrl, "On the relation between classical and quantum-mechanical entropy," *Reports on Mathematical Physics*, vol. 16, no. 3, pp. 353–358, 1979.
6. A. Anderson and J. J. Halliwell, "Information-theoretic measure of uncertainty due to quantum and thermal fluctuations," *Physical Review D*, vol. 48, no. 6, p. 2753, 1993.
7. R. Schatten, *Norm Ideals of Completely Continuous Operators*, ser. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. Springer, 1960.
8. A. S. Holevo, "Multiplicativity of p-norms of completely positive maps and the additivity problem in quantum information theory," *Russian Mathematical Surveys*, vol. 61, no. 2, p. 301, 2006.
9. ——, *Quantum Systems, Channels, Information: A Mathematical Introduction*, ser. De Gruyter Studies in Mathematical Physics. De Gruyter, 2013.
10. ——, "Gaussian optimizers and the additivity problem in quantum information theory," *Uspekhi Matematicheskikh Nauk*, vol. 70, no. 2, pp. 141–180, 2015.
11. J. P. Gordon, "Quantum effects in communications systems," *Proceedings of the IRE*, vol. 50, no. 9, pp. 1898–1908, 1962.
12. J. Chen, J. L. Habif, Z. Dutton, R. Lazarus, and S. Guha, "Optical codeword demodulation with error rates below the standard quantum limit using a conditional nulling receiver," *Nature Photonics*, vol. 6, no. 6, pp. 374–379, 2012.
13. V. W. Chan, "Free-space optical communications," *Lightwave Technology, Journal of*, vol. 24, no. 12, pp. 4750–4762, 2006.
14. S. L. Braunstein and P. Van Loock, "Quantum information with continuous variables," *Reviews of Modern Physics*, vol. 77, no. 2, p. 513, 2005.
15. C. Weedbrook, S. Pirandola, R. Garcia-Patron, N. J. Cerf, T. C. Ralph, J. H. Shapiro, and S. Lloyd, "Gaussian quantum information," *Reviews of Modern Physics*, vol. 84, no. 2, p. 621, 2012.

16. V. Giovannetti, A. Holevo, and R. García-Patrón, "A solution of gaussian optimizer conjecture for quantum channels," *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, vol. 334, no. 3, pp. 1553–1571, 2015.
17. V. Giovannetti, A. S. Holevo, and A. Mari, "Majorization and additivity for multimode bosonic gaussian channels," *Theoretical and Mathematical Physics*, vol. 182, no. 2, pp. 284–293, 2015.
18. A. Mari, V. Giovannetti, and A. S. Holevo, "Quantum state majorization at the output of bosonic gaussian channels," *Nature communications*, vol. 5, 2014.
19. G. De Palma, D. Trevisan, and V. Giovannetti, "Passive states optimize the output of bosonic gaussian quantum channels," *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, vol. 62, no. 5, pp. 2895–2906, May 2016. [Online]. Available: http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/7442587
20. L. Gross, "Logarithmic sobolev inequalities and contractivity properties of semigroups," in *Dirichlet forms*. Springer, 1993, pp. 54–88.
21. R. Carbone and E. Sasso, "Hypercontractivity for a quantum ornstein–uhlenbeck semigroup," *Probability Theory and Related Fields*, vol. 140, no. 3-4, pp. 505–522, 2008.
22. G. De Palma, D. Trevisan, and V. Giovannetti, "Gaussian states minimize the output entropy of the one-mode quantum attenuator," *arXiv preprint arXiv:1605.00441*, 2016. [Online]. Available: http://arxiv.org/abs/1605.00441
23. S. Guha, B. Erkmen, and J. H. Shapiro, "The entropy photon-number inequality and its consequences," in *Information Theory and Applications Workshop, 2008*. IEEE, 2008, pp. 128–130.
24. H. Qi and M. M. Wilde, "Capacities of quantum amplifier channels," *arXiv preprint arXiv:1605.04922*, 2016.
25. R. Konig and G. Smith, "The entropy power inequality for quantum systems," *Information Theory, IEEE Transactions on*, vol. 60, no. 3, pp. 1536–1548, 2014.
26. G. De Palma, A. Mari, and V. Giovannetti, "A generalization of the entropy power inequality to bosonic quantum systems," *Nature Photonics*, vol. 8, no. 12, pp. 958–964, 2014. [Online]. Available: http://www.nature.com/nphoton/journal/v8/n12/full/nphoton.2014.252.html
27. G. De Palma, A. Mari, S. Lloyd, and V. Giovannetti, "Multimode quantum entropy power inequality," *Physical Review A*, vol. 91, no. 3, p. 032320, 2015. [Online]. Available: http://journals.aps.org/pra/abstract/10.1103/PhysRevA.91.032320
28. A. Rényi, "A characterization of poisson processes," *Magyar Tud. Akad. Mat. Kutató Int. Közl*, vol. 1, pp. 519–527, 1956.
29. P. Harremoës, O. Johnson, and I. Kontoyiannis, "Thinning and the law of small numbers," in *Information Theory, 2007. ISIT 2007. IEEE International Symposium on*. IEEE, 2007, pp. 1491–1495.
30. Y. Yu, "Monotonic convergence in an information-theoretic law of small numbers," *Information Theory, IEEE Transactions on*, vol. 55, no. 12, pp. 5412–5422, 2009.
31. P. Harremoës, O. Johnson, and I. Kontoyiannis, "Thinning, entropy, and the law of thin numbers," *Information Theory, IEEE Transactions on*, vol. 56, no. 9, pp. 4228–4244, 2010.
32. Y. Yu and O. Johnson, "Concavity of entropy under thinning," in *Information Theory, 2009. ISIT 2009. IEEE International Symposium on*. IEEE, 2009, pp. 144–148.
33. O. Johnson and Y. Yu, "Monotonicity, thinning, and discrete versions of the entropy power inequality," *Information Theory, IEEE Transactions on*, vol. 56, no. 11, pp. 5387–5395, 2010.
34. A. Ferraro, S. Olivares, and M. G. Paris, "Gaussian states in continuous variable quantum information," *arXiv preprint quant-ph/0503237*, 2005.
35. J. S. Ivan, K. K. Sabapathy, and R. Simon, "Operator-sum representation for bosonic gaussian channels," *Physical Review A*, vol. 84, no. 4, p. 042311, 2011.
36. W. Pusz and S. Woronowicz, "Passive states and kms states for general quantum systems," *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, vol. 58, no. 3, pp. 273–290, 1978.
37. A. Lenard, "Thermodynamical proof of the gibbs formula for elementary quantum systems," *Journal of Statistical Physics*, vol. 19, no. 6, pp. 575–586, 1978.
38. J. Gorecki and W. Pusz, "Passive states for finite classical systems," *Letters in Mathematical Physics*, vol. 4, no. 6, pp. 433–443, 1980.
39. S. Vinjanampathy and J. Anders, "Quantum thermodynamics," *Contemporary Physics*, vol. 0, no. 0, pp. 1–35, 2016.
40. J. Goold, M. Huber, A. Riera, L. del Rio, and P. Skrzypczyk, "The role of quantum information in thermodynamics — a topical review," *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical*, vol. 49, no. 14, p. 143001, 2016.

41. F. Binder, S. Vinjanampathy, K. Modi, and J. Goold, "Quantum thermodynamics of general quantum processes," *Physical Review E*, vol. 91, no. 3, p. 032119, 2015.
42. K. M. Audenaert, "A note on the p q norms of 2-positive maps," *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, vol. 430, no. 4, pp. 1436–1440, 2009.
43. P. Hartman, "Ordinary differential equations," *Classics in Applied Mathematics*, vol. 38, 1982.
44. H. W. Kuhn and A. W. Tucker, "Nonlinear programming," in *Proceedings of the Second Berkeley Symposium on Mathematical Statistics and Probability*. Berkeley, Calif.: University of California Press, 1951, pp. 481–492.
45. G. De Palma, A. Mari, S. Lloyd, and V. Giovannetti, "Passive states as optimal inputs for single-jump lossy quantum channels," *Physical Review A*, vol. 93, no. 6, p. 062328, 2016.